

Tumor suppressor APC is an attenuator of spindle-pulling forces during *C. elegans* asymmetric cell division

Kenji Sugioka^{a,b,c}, Lars-Eric Fielmich^d, Kota Mizumoto^{b,1}, Bruce Bowerman^c, Sander van den Heuvel^{d,2}, Akatsuki Kimura^{e,f,2}, and Hitoshi Sawa^{a,b,f,2}

^aMulticellular Organization Laboratory, National Institute of Genetics, 411-8540 Mishima, Japan; ^bRIKEN Center for Developmental Biology, Chuo-ku, 650-0047 Kobe, Japan; ^cInstitute of Molecular Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403; ^dDevelopmental Biology, Biology Department, Science 4 Life, Utrecht University, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands; ^eCell Architecture Laboratory, National Institute of Genetics, 411-8540 Mishima, Japan; and ^fDepartment of Genetics, School of Life Science, Sokendai, 411-8540 Mishima, Japan

Edited by Roeland Nusse, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, and approved December 20, 2017 (received for review July 10, 2017)

The adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) tumor suppressor has dual functions in Wnt/β-catenin signaling and accurate chromosome segregation and is frequently mutated in colorectal cancers. Although APC contributes to proper cell division, the underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood. Here we show that Caenorhabditis elegans APR-1/APC is an attenuator of the pulling forces acting on the mitotic spindle. During asymmetric cell division of the C. elegans zygote, a LIN-5/NuMA protein complex localizes dynein to the cell cortex to generate pulling forces on astral microtubules that position the mitotic spindle. We found that APR-1 localizes to the anterior cell cortex in a Par-aPKC polarity-dependent manner and suppresses anterior centrosome movements. Our combined cell biological and mathematical analyses support the conclusion that cortical APR-1 reduces force generation by stabilizing microtubule plus-ends at the cell cortex. Furthermore, APR-1 functions in coordination with LIN-5 phosphorylation to attenuate spindle-pulling forces. Our results document a physical basis for the attenuation of spindle-pulling force, which may be generally used in asymmetric cell division and, when disrupted, potentially contributes to division defects in cancer.

APC | spindle | microtubule | C. elegans | asymmetric division

he mitotic spindle segregates chromosomes and determines the plane of cell cleavage during animal cell division. Forces that act on the mitotic spindle regulate its position to produce daughter cells of the proper size, fate, and arrangement, thereby playing a significant role in asymmetric cell division, tissue integrity, and organogenesis. In various organisms, cells regulate spindle positioning through cortical force generators that pull on astral microtubules (MTs) (1-5). An evolutionarily conserved force generator complex, consisting of LIN-5/NuMA, GPR-1, 2/ LGN, and G α , interacts with dynein and dynamic astral MTs to position the mitotic spindle during the asymmetric divisions of the Caenorhabditis elegans early embryo (4), Drosophila and mammalian neuroblasts (1, 2), and skin stem cells (3). Although Par-aPKC polarity and cell-cycle regulators are known to control spindle positioning (4, 6), how the forces are regulated spatiotemporally to position the spindle in various cell types during development remains poorly understood.

The tumor suppressor adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) is a widely conserved multifunctional protein with two major roles. First, APC functions as part of a degradation complex to down-regulate β -catenin–T cell factor (TCF)–dependent transcription, thereby controlling cell fate and proliferation in various cell types (7). Second, APC functions as an MT-associated protein to stabilize MTs. It has been suggested that this function of APC regulates cell migration (8, 9), spindle orientation (10, 11), and chromosome segregation (12, 13). In mammals, loss of the former function is closely associated with colon cancer (14, 15). Loss of the latter function causes spindle-positioning defects (16, 17) and chromosome instability (CIN) (18–20), a hallmark of metastatic

tumors (21), suggesting that the cytoskeletal roles of APC during mitosis are also relevant for oncogenesis. How APC regulates the mitotic spindle remains poorly understood and is complicated by its multiple functions, binding partners, and cellular locations (12, 22).

Yeast and fly studies have suggested that APC at the cell cortex contributes to mitotic spindle positioning. Kar9, a yeast protein with limited homology to APC, localizes asymmetrically to the cell cortex of budding daughter cells through type V myosin-dependent transport of growing MT ends (23–25). Cortical Kar9 captures MTs by binding yeast EB1 and promotes the alignment of the spindle along the mother–bud axis (24–27). *Drosophila* APC2 predominantly localizes to the cell cortex in syncytial embryos. APC2 mutants show a CIN phenotype, presumably because APC2 is required for proper centrosome separation (28). The forces that mediate centrosome separation have been proposed to depend on APC2 connecting astral MTs to cortical actin (28). However, the mechanism by which cortical APC regulates spindle-pulling forces has not been directly addressed in any organism.

We report here that loss of cortical APR-1/APC disrupts asymmetries in spindle movements during mitotic division of the *C. elegans* zygote. In wild-type embryos, the net pulling forces acting on the mitotic spindle become higher in the posterior than

Significance

Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) is a Wnt signaling component as well as a microtubule-associated protein, and its mutations are frequently associated with colorectal cancers in humans. Although APC stabilizes microtubules, its mechanical role during cell division is largely unknown. Here we show that APC is an attenuator of forces acting on the mitotic spindle during asymmetric cell division of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* zygote. We performed live imaging, laser microsurgery, and numerical simulation to show how APC suppresses spindle-pulling force generation by stabilizing microtubule plus-ends and reducing microtubule catastrophe frequency at the cell cortex. Our study documents a mechanical role for the APC protein and provides a physical basis for spindle-pulling force attenuation.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1712052115

Author contributions: K.S., S.v.d.H., A.K., and H.S. designed research; K.S., L.-E.F., and A.K. performed research; K.M. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; K.S., L.-E.F., B.B., S.v.d.H., A.K., and H.S. analyzed data; and K.S., L.-E.F., B.B., S.v.d.H., A.K., and H.S. wrote the paper.

Published under the PNAS license.

¹Present address: Department of Zoology, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, V6T 1Z3, Canada.

²To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: S.J.L.vandenHeuvel@uu.nl, akkimura@ nig.ac.jp, or hisawa@nig.ac.jp.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1712052115/-/DCSupplemental.

in the anterior, causing the spindle to move posteriorly during metaphase and anaphase (spindle displacement) (29, 30). In anaphase, the posterior spindle pole swings along the transverse axis (spindle oscillation), while the anterior pole remains relatively stable. We found APR-1 to be enriched at the anterior cortex in a partitioning-defective (PAR)-polarity-dependent manner. Depletion of APR-1 resulted in anterior pole oscillations that resemble those of the posterior pole. Moreover, lasermediated spindle severing showed that the spindle-pulling forces acting on the anterior spindle pole are increased in apr-1(RNAi) embryos. Using live imaging and numerical simulation, we found that the APR-1-dependent stabilization of MT-cortex interactions negatively regulates the pulling forces acting on the anterior centrosome in wild-type zygotes. Our study identifies APR-1 as an attenuator of spindle-pulling forces and improves our understanding of how cortical polarity precisely regulates spindle positioning during asymmetric cell division.

Results and Discussion

APR-1/APC Localizes Asymmetrically to the Cell Cortex in a PAR- and Frizzled Protein-Dependent Manner. We have previously shown that APR-1 localizes asymmetrically to the anterior cortex in the EMS blastomere at the six-cell stage and in postembryonic seam cells in response to Wnt signals that regulate the asymmetry of these divisions (31, 32). While analyzing GFP::APR-1 localization in early embryos, we noticed that APR-1 is also asymmetrically localized in the zygote, called P0, where roles for Wnt signaling have not been reported. APR-1 formed dot-like particles that were enriched within the anterior cortex throughout P0 cell division (APR-1 asymmetry) (Fig. 1A). We quantified the number of APR-1 dots by counting the fluorescent foci with a signal above a threshold (Materials and Methods). The foci numbers changed from prophase to metaphase and from anaphase to telophase. Nevertheless, we observed anterior enrichment of APR-1 foci throughout mitosis (Fig. 1 A and D).



Fig. 1. The Par–aPKC system and Frizzled signaling regulate APR-1 asymmetric localization during zygote division. (*A*) GFP::APR-1 signals on the cell surface in different mitotic stages (*Left*) and computationally detected APR-1 dots (*Material and Methods*) (*Right*). (*B*) GFP::APR-1 and mCherry::PAR-6 localizations in the cell midplane during asymmetric cell division. The GFP signal was amplified by the anti-GFP immunostaining. The schematic drawing shows polarized protein localizations. (*C*) GFP::APR-1 signals on the cell surface in *mom-2(null*) mutants and *mom-5, par-2,* or *par-3* RNAi embryos. (Scale bars: 10 µm.) (*D*) Quantified numbers of GFP::APR-1 dots on the anterior and posterior cell cortex of wild-type embryos in different mitotic stages. *n* = 5, 10, and 5 from left to right. (*E*) Quantified numbers of GFP::APR-1 dots at metaphase or anaphase in RNAi embryos; *n* = 10, 7, 10, 9, 10, and 10, from left to right. Whiskers indicate minimum and maximum values. ***P* < 0.01; **P* < 0.05; n.s., *P* > 0.05 (one-way ANOVA with Holm–Sidak's multiple comparison test).

Sugioka et al

www.manaraa.com

DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY It is well established that the Par–aPKC system generates anterior–posterior (A–P) cell polarity to regulate the asymmetric division of P0 through interactions between anterior (PAR-3, PAR-6, PKC-3) and posterior (PAR-2, PAR-1) PAR proteins at the cell cortex (Fig. 1B) (33). We found that APR-1 asymmetry in P0 was disrupted after RNAi knockdown of *par-3*, *pkc-3*, or *par-2* (Fig. 1 *C* and *E* and Fig. S1), suggesting that its asymmetry is established through the Par–aPKC system.

In EMS and seam cells, the establishment of APR-1 asymmetry depends on Wnt proteins (31, 32). In P0, MOM-2 is the only Wnt protein that is maternally provided as mRNA (34), although the mRNA appears not to be translated until the four-cell stage (35). As expected, we found that APR-1 localization was not affected in *mom-2(or309)*–null mutants, suggesting that the APR-1 asymmetry in P0 does not require Wnt ligands (Fig. 1 *C* and *E* and Fig. S1).

Despite the lack of a requirement for MOM-2/Wnt, we observed altered APR-1 localization after RNAi knockdown of downstream Wnt signaling components. Specifically, knockdown of the Frizzled receptor MOM-5 or simultaneous inhibition of the Dishevelled homologs DSH-2 and MIG-5 increased the numbers of APR-1 foci at metaphase/anaphase in both the anterior and posterior cortex without altering APR-1 expression levels (Fig. 1 C and E and Figs. S1 and S2A). Inhibition of WRM-1/ β -catenin did not affect APR-1 localization, and mom-5(RNAi) as well as dsh-2;mig-5(RNAi) embryos still showed APR-1 asymmetry (Fig. 1 C and E and Fig. S1). DSH-2 localizes to the posterior cell cortex during Wntdependent asymmetric cell divisions later in development (31, 36). In contrast, DSH-2 localization in P0 was not asymmetric (Fig. S2B), consistent with the lack of Dishevelled requirement in APR-1 asymmetry. Interestingly, inhibition of the axin homolog PRY-1 and casein kinase homolog KIN-19 resulted in loss of APR-1 asymmetry only during meta-anaphase, suggesting their partial requirement in the establishment or maintenance of APR-1 asymmetry (Fig. S1 B and C). These results are consistent with observations at a later developmental stage (37). We conclude that APR-1 asymmetry in P0 is established by the Par-aPKC system with partial involvement of axin and casein kinase, while Frizzled and Dishevelled negatively regulate the levels of cortical APR-1.

APR-1 Asymmetrically Suppresses Centrosome Movements During PO **Cell Division.** The Par–aPKC system independently regulates two P0 asymmetries: the segregation of cell fate determinants (e.g., PIE-1 and PGL-1) and posterior mitotic spindle displacement and thereby asymmetric cell cleavage. In apr-1(RNAi) embryos, GFP:: PIE-1 segregated into the posterior daughter cell as in wild-type embryos, indicating that APR-1 is not involved in cytoplasmic determinant localization (Fig. S2C). In contrast, apr-1(RNAi) embryos showed abnormal spindle oscillations. In wild-type P0, posterior spindle displacement (represented by centrosome movements along the A-P axis) starts during metaphase and continues during anaphase, when it coincides with transverse oscillations (represented by centrosome movements along the transverse axis) of the two spindle poles (Fig. 2A, B, D, and E). The posterior spindle pole oscillates more vigorously than the anterior pole (Fig. 2 B and E and Movie S1), as a result of higher posterior than anterior cortical pulling forces (38). In apr-1(RNAi) embryos, spindle movements were exaggerated: In some embryos, the mitotic spindle moved back and forth along the A-P axis (Fig. 2 C and D and Movie S2), and in some cases, the anterior spindle pole exhibited excessive transverse oscillations, visible by the increased frequency and amplitude of the spindle pole tracks (Fig. 2 C and E and Movie S2). As a result, the total distance traveled by the anterior centrosome increased significantly compared with that in control embryos (Fig. 2F). These data indicate that APR-1 suppresses anterior spindle pole movements and thereby controls spindle positioning during anaphase.

In *mom-5(ne12)*-null mutant embryos, in which APR-1 levels were increased at both the anterior and posterior cortex, we observed reduced posterior spindle pole oscillations (Fig. S3).

However, spindle pole oscillations were not restored in *apr-1(RNAi); mom-5(null)* embryos (Fig. S3B). These results suggest that APR-1– independent functions of MOM-5 influence spindle movements. Because of this, we could not determine the effects of excess cortical APR-1 on spindle pole movements in the *mom-5(null)* background. However, in other aspects of spindle dynamics described below, elevated cortical APR-1 localization potentiated APR-1 function.

APR-1 Asymmetrically Stabilizes MT-Cortex Interactions. As mammalian APC (39) and C. elegans APR-1 in the EMS cell (32) can stabilize MTs, we hypothesized that anteriorly enriched APR-1 in the P0 cell may also increase MT stability at the cell cortex to regulate asymmetric spindle movements. To assess this possibility, we analyzed the MT-cortex interactions using live imaging of GFP::
ß-tubulin-expressing embryos. In kymographs of midplane images, astral MTs appear to persist longer on the anterior than on the posterior cell cortex, consistent with previous observations (Fig. 3A) (40). To precisely quantify MT plus-end residence time at the cortex, we measured the duration of GFP:: β-tubulin foci on the flattened cell surface (Fig. 3B). Most of the GFP::β-tubulin foci initially colocalized with the EB1-related plus-end-binding protein EBP-2 (96.1%; n = 255), confirming that the foci represent MT plus-ends. Shortly after the cortical attachment, EB1 dissociates from MT plus-ends, while some MTs remained at the cortex after the release of EB1 (Fig. 3 B and D). The numbers of such longlived MT plus-ends were higher anteriorly, accounting for the asymmetry in cortical MT residence time in wild-type zygotes (Fig. 3 B-D, magenta arrows in Fig. 3C, and Movies S3 and S4).

Notably, the MT residence time at the anterior cortex was significantly lower in *apr-1(RNAi)* than in wild-type embryos (Fig. 3 *C* and *E* and Movie S5). In contrast, *mom-5* mutants with excess cortical APR-1 showed an increased MT residence time at both the anterior and posterior cell cortex (Fig. 3 *C* and *E* and Movie S6). RNAi knockdown of *apr-1* overcame this *mom-5* phenotype, reducing MT cortical residence throughout the cortex (Fig. 3 *C* and *E* and Movie S7). Thus, APR-1 stabilizes MT–cortex interactions and acts downstream of MOM-5 (Fig. 4D).

APR-1 Asymmetrically Attenuates Pulling Forces Acting on the Mitotic Spindle. The exaggerated anterior spindle pole movements in apr-1 (RNAi) embryos implicate APR-1 in the regulation of spindlepulling force. We investigated this possibility using spindlesevering assays (Fig. 4A) (41). After the spindle midzone was cut with a UV laser, the average peak velocities of the anterior and posterior spindle poles moving toward the cell cortex were calculated (Fig. 4A). In control embryos, the posterior spindle pole moved faster than the anterior pole, as expected (Fig. 4 A and B and Movie S8). In apr-1(RNAi) embryos, we observed an increased average peak velocity specifically for the anterior spindle pole (Fig. 4 A and B and Movie S8). In mom-5(null) embryos with excess cortical APR-1, both the anterior and posterior spindle poles showed reduced average peak velocities (Fig. 4B and Movie S8). Combined apr-1(RNAi);mom-5(null) embryos showed increased average peak velocities and resembled apr-1(RNAi) embryos (Fig. 4B and Movie S8). These results indicate that the cortical levels of APR-1 inversely correlate with spindle-pulling forces and suggest a role for APR-1 as an attenuator of cortical pulling force (Fig. 4D).

APR-1–Dependent Stabilization of MTs Accounts for Reduced Pulling Forces on the Anterior Spindle Pole. We have shown that APR-1 is enriched at the anterior cell cortex, promotes cortical MT residence times anteriorly, and suppresses both spindle-pulling forces and anterior spindle pole oscillations, raising the possibility that these processes are mechanistically linked. It has been shown that cortical pulling forces are generated when MTs reaching the cortex meet dynein and undergo catastrophe (transition from MT plus-end growth to rapid shrinkage) (42). Therefore, we hypothesized that cortical APR-1 reduces the MT

> Sugioka et al. WWW.MANARAA.COM



DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY

Fig. 2. APR-1 asymmetrically suppresses centrosome movements during the PO cell division. (A) Schematic drawings of spindle movements along the A–P and transverse axes. Spindle displacement contributes mainly to the movements along the A–P axis, and oscillations contribute mainly to the movements along transverse axes. (B and C) Centrosome movements in the A–P (*Left*) and transverse (*Right*) axes in control (B) and *apr-1(RNAi*) (C) \pm 40 s around anaphase onset. Kymographs (stack of line images of each time point) were made to show centrosome movements along the A–P and transverse axes separately. Blue arrows and red arrowheads indicate centrosomes (γ -tubulin) and chromosomes (histone H2B), respectively. (Scale bars: 5 µm.) (D and E) Anterior centrosome position during cell division along the A–P (D) and vertical (E) axes. Cell centers are position zero. (F and H) Total distances for movements of the anterior and posterior poles in living embryos (F) and in 3D simulations (H). (G) Physical model used for 3D simulations. "A" and "P" indicate the anterior and posterior spindle poles harboring shrinking MTs (orange) and elongating MTs (blue). Red and black arrows indicate centrosome movements and cortical force generation, respectively. For each MT catastrophe at the cortex, the average pulling forces acting on a single MT at the posterior are stronger than those at the anterior, due to the different probabilities of MT–force generator interactions (*Materials and Methods*). Error bars show 95% Cl. ***P* < 0.01; **P* < 0.05; n.s., *P* > 0.05 compared with control (Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test).

catastrophe frequency and thereby attenuates force generation and spindle movement. However, it is not clear whether the magnitude of APR-1-dependent cortical MT stabilization is sufficient to suppress spindle movement.

We decided to examine this issue using numerical simulation. First, we estimated MT catastrophe frequencies from their cortical residence time (Fig. S4 and Table S1). In control embryos, the estimated catastrophe frequency at the anterior cortex was about half that at the posterior cortex. Such a reduced catastrophe frequency was not detected at the anterior cortex of *apr-1* (*RNAi*) embryos, indicating that in wild-type embryos the catastrophe frequency is suppressed by APR-1.

š



Fig. 3. APR-1 asymmetrically stabilizes MT-cortex interactions. (*A*) Cortical MT dynamics. The cortical area outlined by the solid line in *Top* image was extracted, straightened, and corrected for photobleaching. This cortical area, depicted by the dotted line in the *Middle* image, was used to generate a kymograph (*Bottom*). The color code of the kymograph was changed to highlight MTs. (*B*, *Upper*) Measurement of cortical MT residence. The embryos were mounted on agarose pads and flattened by coverslips to visualize cortical MT ends in a single focal plane. (*Lower*) Examples of short- and long-lived foci with simultaneous imaging of GFP::β-tubulin and EB1::mKate2. (Scale bars: 2.5 µm.) (*C*) Cortical MT dots in the indicated genotypes during metaphase–anaphase. Images are maximum projections of cortical GFP::β-tubulin for 60 frames (42 s). Yellow and magenta arrows indicate the MT dots whose residence time was shorter and longer than 2.1 s, respectively. See also Movies S3 and S5–S7. (*D*) Distribution of quantified cortical MT or EB1 residence time in wild-type animals. (*E*) Mean cortical MT residence time of indicated genotypes; n = 47, 42, 77, 67, 64, 61, 37, and 44, from left to right. Error bars show 95% CI. ***P* < 0.01; **P* < 0.05 compared with control (Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test).

Dovr

E958 | www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1712052115



Fig. 4. APR-1 asymmetrically attenuates pulling forces acting on the mitotic spindle. (A) Spindlesevering experiments. (Upper Left) The midzones of mitotic spindles were severed by laser irradiation around anaphase onset. (Upper Right) Upon spindle severing, spindle remnants moved at different velocities depending on the net strength of pulling forces. (Lower) Montages of dissected spindle dynamics are shown as differential interference contrast (DIC) images. Spindle poles devoid of yolk granules are indicated by arrowheads. (Scale bars: 10 µm.) (B) Average peak velocity of spindle poles after spindle severing. (C) The average of outward-pulling forces over 5 s from anaphase onset (t = 100 s) for 20 independent simulations. Error bars show 95% CI. **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05 compared with control (one-way ANOVA with Holm-Sidak's method). (D) Summary of relationships between cortical APR-1 level, cortical MT residence, cortical MT catastrophe frequencies, and spindle-pulling forces.

We set the rescue frequency of all MTs high, so that soon after the MTs start to shorten, they regrow to reach the cortex (Table S2). This assumption was introduced to make the number of MTs reaching the cortex almost constant regardless of the differences in catastrophe frequencies between anterior and posterior, which is the case in living embryos (Movie S3). Without this assumption, the number of MTs reaching the cortex should be approximately twofold higher at the anterior because the anterior catastrophe frequency is about half of the posterior catastrophe frequency. The mechanistic basis of this assumption is provided by the in vivo observation that individual MTs appear to form bundles, and multiple EB1 tracks move along a bundled fiber toward the cell cortex, making rescue frequency of the fiber higher than that of individual MTs (Movie S4), as is consistent with the previous observation (43).

We conducted 3D simulations of spindle movements. As in previous simulations (44-47), the spindle moves as a result of three kinds of forces acting on astral MTs that radiate from each spindle pole (Fig. 2G). First, all MTs generate pulling forces proportional to their length (cytoplasmic pulling force). This force is important for positioning the spindle in the cell center during mitotic prophase (45, 48, 49) and is also critical for oscillation (38). Second, MTs that reach the cell cortex generate the pulling force at their plus-ends only when they undergo catastrophe (cortical pulling force). The current theory for the basis of oscillation is that when the spindle poles move toward one side, the pulling force from that side becomes stronger (positive feedback or negative friction), while the opposing centering force also increases (38, 50, 51). With this mechanism, the spindle is not stabilized at the center but oscillates. In our model, the frequency of the force generation depends on the number of active cortical force generators and the MT residence time controlled by APR-1, both of which have A-P asymmetry. The third force connects the anterior and posterior spindle poles. We

assumed a spring-like connection between the poles that was weakened after anaphase onset to mimic the spindle elongation.

Numerical simulations were conducted for control, apr-1(RNAi), and mom-5(null) situations (Fig. S5) by setting the catastrophe frequency to values estimated from experimental data (e.g., 0.31/s for the anterior and 0.72/s for the posterior) (Table S1). The simulation results indicated that the APR-1-dependent stabilization of MTs is sufficient to suppress oscillation of the anterior pole (Fig. 2H). In wild-type simulations, the spindle moved toward the posterior and elongated upon anaphase onset (Fig. S5A and Movie S9). The oscillations perpendicular to the A-P axis were also reproduced for both spindle poles (Fig. S5B). In apr-1(RNAi) simulations, in which the catastrophe frequency at the anterior cortex was increased, the amplitude of the anterior spindle pole oscillations was increased (Fig. 2H, Fig. S5, and Movie S9). Furthermore, the average peak velocities of anterior poles in the severing experiments were also consistent with the forces acting on anterior spindle poles in our simulations (Fig. 4C). Overall, the numerical simulations supported the hypothesis that the APR-1dependent stabilization of MTs at the cortex can suppress spindle pole oscillations through the reduction of force generation.

Anterior APR-1 and LIN-5 Phosphorylation Together Attenuate Spindle-Pulling Forces. We investigated the significance of spindle-pulling force attenuators in asymmetric cell division. Along with APR-1, we focused on the LIN-5 protein. LIN-5 interacts with cortical GPR-1/ 2 and dynein in cortical force generation (52). We have previously reported that anteriorly localized PKC-3/aPKC phosphorylates LIN-5 to attenuate cortical pulling forces (53). We edited the *lin-5* genomic locus to substitute four aPKC phosphorylated serine residues with alanine by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated homologous recombination (*lin-5 4A* mutation). In spindle-severing experiments, combining the *apr-1(RNAi)* and the *lin-5 4A* mutations caused significantly enhanced

average peak velocities of the anterior poles compared with *apr-1* (*RNAi*) embryos (Fig. 5*A*). Compared with *lin-5* 4*A* embryos, the increase in anterior peak velocity was not significant (P = 0.07) (Fig. 5*A*). However, in contrast to the single mutants, the ratio of anterior-to-posterior centrosome peak velocities in *apr-1(RNAi)*; *lin-5* 4*A* double mutants was reduced significantly compared with wild-type controls (Fig. 5*B*). These data suggest that the Par-aPKC–dependent asymmetric localization of APR-1 and phosphorylation of LIN-5 together attenuate cortical pulling forces to generate pulling-force asymmetry that positions the mitotic spindle (Fig. 5 *C*–*E*).

Conclusion

In this study, we investigated how the APR-1/APC protein regulates mitotic spindle movements in the *C. elegans* one-cell embryo, a well-established model for asymmetric cell division. We observed that APR-1/APC becomes asymmetrically enriched at

the anterior cell cortex, dependent on the Par-PKC-3 polarity pathway. We found that APR-1 attenuates spindle-pulling forces, most likely through stabilization of MTs at the anterior cell cortex. In concert, the Wnt signaling-component proteins MOM-5/Frizzled and Disheveled suppressed cortical accumulation of APR-1, thereby contributing to the correct levels of pulling forces. To test these assumptions, we performed numerical simulations that closely mimicked the spindle movements in wild-type and mutant embryos. These combined data strongly support the conclusion that MT stabilization by APR-1 contributes to correct spindle positioning. Finally, we provide evidence suggesting that asymmetric APR-1 enrichment and PKC-3 phosphorylation of LIN-5 act in parallel to regulate asymmetric cell division. These conclusions are likely to apply broadly and improve our understanding of the MT-associated functions of APC.



Fig. 5. Anterior APR-1 enrichment and LIN-5 phosphorylation together attenuate spindle-pulling forces to generate pulling-force asymmetry. (*A* and *B*) Average peak velocity of spindle poles (*A*) and their posterior/anterior ratio (*B*) after spindle severing. Error bars show 95% Cl. **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05 compared with control (one-way ANOVA with Holm–Sidak's method). (*C*) Three elementary processes used in the model. (1) aPKC-dependent LIN-5 phosphorylation results in the inhibition of force generation. (2) Cortical MT stabilization by APC reduces the MT catastrophe frequency. (3) MT shrinkage-dependent force generation is suppressed by step 2. (*D*) A schematic model of asymmetric spindle force regulation in P0 cell (see text). (*E*) A diagram of spindle-pulling force-regulation pathways at the anterior cell cortex.

Although APC is a component of Wnt signaling, its localization has been reported to be regulated by the Par-aPKC polarity pathway in migrating mammalian astrocytes (54) and during axonal differentiation of developing hippocampal neurons (55), as we observed in the C. elegans one-cell embryo. Scratching of astrocyte monolayers in wound-healing assays triggers APC localization to the cell cortex at the leading edge, in response to CDC42-induced Par-aPKC polarity and Wnt5a signaling (56). Interestingly, polarity establishment in this system is followed by centrosome reorientation through APC-MT interactions (54). Thus, the mechanisms that control centrosome positioning through interactions between Par polarity, Wnt signaling, and APC may be conserved across species. The dynamic change in cortical APR-1 levels during P0 cell division is intriguing: This may reflect cell-cycle-dependent activation of the Wnt signaling pathway as reported in fly and mammalian cultured cells (57).

While the roles of cortical APC have been unclear, it was previously proposed that APC stabilizes MTs through the MT plusend-binding protein EB1 (54, 58). Consistently, in the C. elegans EMS blastomere, cortical APC stabilizes MT ends coated with EB1 (32). However, a few examples, including the present study, indicate that cortical APC can stabilize MTs independently of EB1. First, truncated mammalian APC that lacks the EB1 interaction domain has been shown to localize to the cell cortex and to MTs in epithelial cells (59). In addition, Drosophila APC2, which lacks the C-terminal EB1-binding domain, interacts with MT plus-ends at the cortex and contributes to centrosome segregation (28). In our study, APR-1 at the anterior cortex stabilizes MTs, but the mean cortical residence time of EBP-2/EB1 was symmetric. We also observed that the cortical residence time of EB1 is much shorter than that of MTs in P0, as reported previously (43). Therefore, APR-1 at the anterior cortex of P0 likely stabilizes MTs independently of EB1 binding. We observed recently that deleting all EB family members has limited effects on spindle behavior and viability in C. elegans (60). Therefore, the MT-stabilizing effects of cortical APC probably do not depend on EB1 protein interactions.

Mitotic spindle positioning is tightly controlled during embryogenesis, in various adult stem cell divisions, and in symmetric divisions (1, 3, 61). While many studies have focused on the localization of cortical force generators that pull on MT plus-ends, attenuators of spindle-pulling forces may be just as important in creating asymmetry. In fact, a variety of molecular mechanisms appear to suppress spindle-pulling forces in the one-cell embryo, including PKC-3-mediated LIN-5 phosphorylation (53), cortical actin (62), and posterior-lateral LET-99 localization (63). This study provides insight into and a physical basis for spindle-pulling force attenuation: We found that APC acts as an attenuator of spindle-pulling forces through stabilization of MT plus-ends at the cortex. Importantly, a similar force attenuator function of APC is potentially used in oriented divisions of Drosophila germline stem cells (11), as well as mouse ES cells attached to Wnt-immobilized beads (64), as these systems exhibit asymmetric APC localizations similar to those we have observed in the C. elegans zygote. Our study also implies that not only APC but also other proteins involved in MT stabilization are potential cortical spindle-pulling force attenuators.

The observed pulling-force attenuation function may be relevant for the CIN phenotype associated with APC loss in human colon cancer (18, 20). Initial studies of cultured mammalian cells associated APC loss and CIN with defective kinetochore–MT attachments, although abnormal spindle structures were also observed in APC-defective cells (18, 20). In *Drosophila* embryos, APC2 was found to localize predominantly to the cell cortex (65). Chromosome missegregation associated with APC2 loss in such embryos was linked to a cytoskeletal function of APC in centrosome segregation (28). In our study, we found that *C. elegans* APC localizes to the cell cortex where it negatively regulates spindle-pulling forces. Consequently, the absence of APC results in increased pulling forces exerted on the spindle poles. Interestingly, defective kinetochore attachments have been shown to cause chromosomesegregation defects in *C. elegans*, in a manner dependent on cortical pulling forces (66). Thus, combining these data with our results raises a testable hypothesis that increased cortical pulling forces and abnormal MT-kinetochore interactions synergistically elevate the risk of CIN in developing tumors with APC mutations.

Materials and Methods

C. elegans Culture and Strains. All strains used in this study were cultured by standard methods (67). Most worms were grown at 20 °C or 22.5 °C and then were incubated at 25 °C overnight before the analysis. Worms used for anti-DSH-2 staining were grown at 22.5 °C. Worms carrying PIE-1::GFP were grown at 15 °C and were incubated at 25 °C overnight before the analysis. The following alleles were used: mom-2(or309), mom-5(ne12), par-2(it51). We used mom-5(ne12)-null mutants for all mom-5 experiments except those in Fig. 1. The following integrated transgenic lines were used: osls15 (32) for GFP::APR-1; ruls32 (68) for GFP::H2B; ojls1 (69) for GFP::BP-1; ruls32 (70) for GFP::PIE-1; axls1720 (70) for GFP::PAR-6 (71). We also generated the EBP-2::mKate2 fusion strain ebp-2 [or1954(ebp-2::mKate2)] and the *lin-5* [he260(S729A,S734A,S737A, S739A)] strain by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing as described below.

Generation of CRISPR Repair Templates. For the generation of the *ebp-2*:: mKate2 strain, CRISPR repair constructs containing 700-bp homologous arms were synthesized as gBlock fragments (Integrated DNA Technologies) and were assembled into the pJET2.1 vector using in-house Gibson Assembly reaction mix (72). For the generation of the *lin-5 4A* strain, CRISPR repair constructs were inserted into the pBSK vector using Gibson Assembly (New England Biolabs). Homologous arms of at least 1,500 bp upstream and downstream of the CRISPR/Cas9 cleavage site were amplified from cosmid C03G3 using KOD Polymerase (Novagen/Merck). Linkers containing the point mutations were synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies). Mismatches were introduced in the single-guide RNA (sgRNA) target site to prevent cleavage of the repair template and knockin alleles. All plasmids and primers used for this study are available upon request.

CRISPR/Cas9 Genome Editing. Young adults were injected with solutions containing the following injection mix. For ebp-2::mKate2, 10 ng/µL pDD162 Peft-3::Cas9 with sgRNA targeting the C terminus of the ebp-2 locus (Addgene 47549) (73), 10 ng/ μL repair template, and 65 ng/ μL selection marker pRF4 were used. For lin-5 4A, 50 ng/µL Peft-3::Cas9 (Addgene 46168) (74), 50 ng/µL of two PU6::sgRNAs targeting the region of the four serine residues to be mutated to alanine, 50 ng/µL repair template, and 2.5 ng/µL selection marker Pmyo-2::tdTomato were used. Progeny of animals that carried selection markers were transferred to new plates 3-4 d post injection. For ebp-2::mKate2, GFP-positive animals were crossed with a strain carrying GFP::tubulin to obtain ebp-2::mKate2 with GFP::tubulin {EU3068; ebp-2[or1954(ebp-2::mKate2)] II}. For lin-5 4A, PCRs with primers diagnostic for recombination products at the endogenous locus were performed on F2-F3 populations; one primer targeted the altered base pairs in the sgRNA site and point mutations and the other just outside the homology arm. The resulting strain [SV1689; lin-5 (he260[S729A/S734A/S737A/S739A]) II] was crossed with AZ244 [unc-119(ed3) III: ruls57] to obtain the lin-5 4A strain with GFP::tubulin [SV1690; lin-5(he260); ruls57].

RNAi. DNA fragments corresponding to nucleotides 848–1547 of the *apr-1* cDNA were amplified and used for the production of the dsRNA and feeding RNAi. For the experiments shown in Fig. 5, we injected the dsRNA into the gonad, and worms were subsequently cultured under feeding RNAi at 25 °C for more than 16 h before embryos were dissected. For the rest of experiments, after injection of the dsRNA into the gonad, worms were incubated at 25 °C without feeding RNAi for over 30 h before embryos were dissected.

Microscopy and Analysis of Living Embryos. All embryos were dissected in an egg salt buffer from gravid hermaphrodites (75). For live imaging except for the experiments shown in Fig. 5, the embryos were mounted on 4% agar pads under a coverslip and sealed with petroleum jelly. For most experiments embryos were observed at room temperature by a CSU10 spinning disk confocal system (Yokogawa Electric) mounted on an Axioplan 2 microscope (Carl Zeiss) with a Plan-Apochromat 100× 1.4 NA oil-immersion lens. The specimens were illuminated with a diode-pumped solid-state 488-nm laser (HPU50100, 20 mW; Furukawa Electric). Images were acquired with an Orca ER12-bit cooled CCD camera (Hamamatsu Photonics), and the acquisition system was controlled by IPLab software (2 × 2 binning). Acquired images

were processed with the ImageJ (NIH) (76) and Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems). For the experiments in Fig. 3B, images were captured with a confocal unit CSU-W with Borealis (Andor Technology) and dual EMCCD cameras (iXon Ultra 897; Andor Technology) mounted on an inverted Leica DMi8 microscope (Leica Microsystems) controlled by MetaMorph (Molecular Devices). Spindle-severing experiments were performed with a MicroPoint system (Photonic Instruments) equipped with a 2-mW pulsed nitrogen laser (model VL-337; Laser Science Inc.) exciting Coumarin 440 dye. For the experiments shown in Fig. 5, embryos were mounted on 4% agarose pads dissolved in egg salts buffer and were observed by a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope with a Perfect Focus System (Nikon) equipped with CSU-X1-A1 spinning-disk confocal head (Yokogawa Electric) and Super Fluor 100× 1.3 NA objectives. The specimens were illuminated with a Cobolt Calvpso 491-nm laser (Cobolt). Spindle-severing experiments were performed with 355-nm Q-switched pulsed lasers (Teem Photonics) with the iLas system (Roper Scientific France/PICT-IBiSA, Institut Curie). Temperature was maintained at 25 °C by an INUBG2E-ZILCS Stage Top incubator (Tokai Hit) on an MS-2000-XYZ motorized stage with a Piezo Top plate (ASI). Images were acquired with an Evolve 512 EMCCD camera (Photometrics), and the acquisition system was controlled by MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices).

Immunostaining. For the analysis of GFP::APR-1 and mCherry::PAR-6 colocalization, we performed the freeze-crack method to permeabilize embryos and fixed them in methanol at -20 °C for 5 min followed by acetone at -20 °C for 5 min. After three washings with PBS supplemented with 1% Tween-20, the embryos were incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (1:1,000; Invitrogen) overnight. After incubation with goat anti-rabbit fluorescein (1:1,000; Invitrogen), embryos were imaged for fluorescein and mCherry signal. Embryos were fixed and stained with rabbit anti-DSH-2 antibody as described (77).

Measurement of Embryo Volumes. The volumes (V) of embryos were estimated from the measured embryo length (X) and width (Y). When three semiaxes of the ellipsoid (embryo) in the *x*, *y*, and *z* axes are defined as *a*, *b*, and *c*, respectively, $V = 4/3\pi abc$. With the assumption of equal embryo width in the *y* and *z* axes, we estimated *a*, *b*, and *c* as 0.5X, 0.5Y, and 0.5Y and calculated V.

Statistical Analysis. For multiple comparisons, one-way ANOVA with Holm-Sidak's method and Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test were performed for the data with normal distribution and skewed distribution (judged by F-test), respectively. No statistical method was used to predetermine sample size. The experiments were not randomized. The investigators were not blinded.

Quantification of the Data from Fluorescence Images. For the quantification of the number of dots formed by GFP::APR-1, eight-bit images were processed with Gaussian blur and segmented with the threshold that covers all the visible dots using Fiji. Then number of segments was counted by the ImageJ plug-in Analyze Particles. For the quantification of total APR-1 level in Fig. S2A, four successive focal planes including the cell center and cell surfaces (corresponding to the upper half of the cell) were combined by the sum projection, and the intensity in the area devoid of embryos was subtracted from the average signal intensity of the cell region. For the generation of kymographs that show the centrosome movements along the A-P axis, (Fig. 2 B and C, Left), we drew lines passing through both centrosomes (some centers are missing due to the transverse movements) and generated kymographs using the ImageJ function Multi Kymograph. For the generation of kymographs that show centrosome movements along the transverse axis (Fig. 2 B and C, Right), we first adjusted the center of the centrosome manually and drew a line that passes through the center of the anterior or posterior centrosome and performed the same procedures. Note that kymographs are composed of linear pixels of each frame for all time points that together show the centrosome trajectory over time. For the quantification of spindle movements, the coordinates of the center of the centrosomes were analyzed with the ImageJ plug-in Manual Tracking. For the generation of kymographs of cortical MTs (Fig. 3A), we extracted and straightened cortical regions and performed photobleach corrections (exponential fit method) by ImageJ. The image color map was changed to MPI-Inferno with ImageJ. For the quantification of cortical residence times of GFP::EB1 and GFP::B-tubulin, the number of frames from the appearance to the disappearance of each dot were counted manually. Note that some MT dots for which the start and end of

 Siller KH, Doe CQ (2009) Spindle orientation during asymmetric cell division. Nat Cell Biol 11:365–374.

 Knoblich JA (2010) Asymmetric cell division: Recent developments and their implications for tumour biology. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11:849–860. cortical localization were unclear were not counted. The average peak velocity after spindle severing was calculated from the distance traveled by the centrosome center.

3D Simulation of Spindle Movement.

Overview. The simulations included two spindle poles connected by a spring with dynamic astral MTs inside a cell. The cell was simulated as an oval with a long axis of 50 μ m and two short axes of 30 μ m. The initial position of the spindle poles was set in the center of the cell and aligned along the long axis with the distance of 10 μ m, which corresponds to the size of the spindle. The dynamic instability. Depending on the length and configuration of the MTs, three kinds of forces act on spindle poles to move them, as explained below. From an initial configuration, the configuration of the MTs and the spindle poles was calculated at successive time steps as conducted in previous simulations (44–47). The parameters used are listed in Table S2.

Force 1, cytoplasmic pulling forces. All MTs generate pulling force proportional to their length. This force is important to bring the spindle to the cell center (45, 48, 49) and is also critical for oscillation (38). The cytoplasmic pulling force generated for an *i*-th MT was modeled as $F_{cytoplasm}(i) = D \times L(i) \times F_{FG}(i)$, where *D* is the density of active force generators in the cytoplasm and L(i) is the length of the MT. $F_{FG}(i)$ is same as in the cortical pulling force. The direction of the force is the same as the direction of the MT. We note that the centering force required for oscillation can also be provided by a force that MTs produce when they push against the cortex (78) instead of by the cytoplasmic pulling force. The detailed mechanisms (i.e., pulling or pushing) of the centering force do not affect the overall behavior of our model.

Force 2, cortical pulling forces. MTs that reached the cell cortex generate pulling forces toward their direction only when they start to shrink. The cortical pulling force generated for an *i*-th MT was modeled as $F_{cortex}(i) =$ $N_{potential}(i) \times P_{active}(i) \times F_{FG}(i)$. $N_{potential}$ is the number of force generators that can potentially interact with the MT. We set this value at 30 for the posterior cortex and 15 for the anterior cortex. The experimental value of this parameter has not been investigated, but this number is consistent with a previous study estimating that the total number of force generators is less than 50 and the density at the posterior cortex is double that at the anterior cortex (79). Pactive is the probability that the potentially interacting force generators are active. A critical assumption to generate robust oscillation here is to model this value high when the spindle pole is approaching the site of the force generator and low when the spindle pole is leaving the site of the force generator (38, 50). In the previous study (38), Pactive was defined as $P_{active} = p_{mean} + (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v - \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v + \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v + \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v + \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v + \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times p_{mean} \times (1 - p_{mean}) \times v + \tau \times (f'/f_c) \times v + v \times (f'/f_c) \times v + v$ p_{mean} × a. For simplicity, we neglected the acceleration term (a) and fixed the p_{mean} parameter to 0.5 to see the extensive oscillation (38). We set $f'/f_c =$ 4.0/ V_{max} , and thus used $P_{active} = 0.5 + v/V_{max}$. Here v is the velocity of the spindle pole toward the direction of the force generator on the cortex. When v < 0, we set $P_{active} = 0$. F_{FG} is formulated as $F_{FG} = F_{stall} (1 - v/V_{max})$ (38, 45). When $v > V_{max}$, we set $F_{FG} = 0$. In the simulation, force generation for shrinking MTs lasts for 100 steps (1 s).

Force 3, forces connecting the two poles. To connect the anterior and posterior spindle poles, which is done by spindle MTs in vivo, we treated the spindle as a Hookean spring. The natural length increases proportionally from 10 μ m at time 0 to 12 μ m at t = 100 s, which is the onset of anaphase in the simulation. After the onset of anaphase, the natural length increases proportionally to 22 μ m at t = 200 s. The spring constant is high (1 pN/ μ m) so that the length of spindle is maintained at almost the natural length.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Nancy Hawkins for the anti–DSH-2 antibody and the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center (funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs Grant P40 OD010440) for strains. This work was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research Program 821.02.001 (S.v.d.H.); NIH Grant R01GM049869 (to B.B.); the Human Frontier Science Program and National Institute of Genetics Collaboration Grants (NIG-JOINT) Grant 2013-A60 (K.S.); the Uehara Memorial Foundation (H.S.); and Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan Grants-in Aid for Scientific Research JP22127005 (to H.S.) and JP15H04732 and JP15KT0083 (to A.K.).

- 3. Williams SE, Fuchs E (2013) Oriented divisions, fate decisions. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 25:749–758.
- Rose L, Gönczy P (2014) Polarity establishment, asymmetric division and segregation of fate determinants in early C. elegans embryos. WormBook 1–43.

- di Pietro F, Echard A, Morin X (2016) Regulation of mitotic spindle orientation: An integrated view. *EMBO Rep* 17:1106–1130.
- Portegijs V, et al. (2016) Multisite phosphorylation of NuMA-related LIN-5 controls mitotic spindle positioning in C. elegans. PLoS Genet 12:e1006291.
- 7. Clevers H, Nusse R (2012) Wnt/ β -catenin signaling and disease. Cell 149:1192–1205.
- Barth Al, Caro-Gonzalez HY, Nelson WJ (2008) Role of adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) and microtubules in directional cell migration and neuronal polarization. Semin Cell Dev Biol 19:245–251.
- 9. Etienne-Manneville S (2009) APC in cell migration. Adv Exp Med Biol 656:30-40.
- Pereira G, Yamashita YM (2011) Fly meets yeast: Checking the correct orientation of cell division. *Trends Cell Biol* 21:526–533.
- Yamashita YM, Jones DL, Fuller MT (2003) Orientation of asymmetric stem cell division by the APC tumor suppressor and centrosome. *Science* 301:1547–1550.
- 12. Bahmanyar S, Nelson WJ, Barth AI (2009) Role of APC and its binding partners in regulating microtubules in mitosis. Adv Exp Med Biol 656:65–74.
- Rusan NM, Peifer M (2008) Original CIN: Reviewing roles for APC in chromosome instability. J Cell Biol 181:719–726.
- Moser AR, Dove WF, Roth KA, Gordon JI (1992) The Min (multiple intestinal neoplasia) mutation: Its effect on gut epithelial cell differentiation and interaction with a modifier system. J Cell Biol 116:1517–1526.
- Su LK, et al. (1992) Multiple intestinal neoplasia caused by a mutation in the murine homolog of the APC gene. Science 256:668–670.
- Beamish H, et al. (2009) Cyclin A/cdk2 regulates adenomatous polyposis colidependent mitotic spindle anchoring. J Biol Chem 284:29015–29023.
- Green RA, Wollman R, Kaplan KB (2005) APC and EB1 function together in mitosis to regulate spindle dynamics and chromosome alignment. *Mol Biol Cell* 16:4609–4622.
- Fodde R, et al. (2001) Mutations in the APC tumour suppressor gene cause chromosomal instability. Nat Cell Biol 3:433–438.
- Green RA, Kaplan KB (2003) Chromosome instability in colorectal tumor cells is associated with defects in microtubule plus-end attachments caused by a dominant mutation in APC. J Cell Biol 163:949–961.
- Kaplan KB, et al. (2001) A role for the adenomatous polyposis coli protein in chromosome segregation. Nat Cell Biol 3:429–432.
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. *Cell* 144:646–674.
 Nelson S, Näthke IS (2013) Interactions and functions of the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) protein at a glance. *J Cell Sci* 126:873–877.
- Hwang E, Kusch J, Barral Y, Huffaker TC (2003) Spindle orientation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae depends on the transport of microtubule ends along polarized actin cables. J Cell Biol 161:483–488.
- Korinek WS, Copeland MJ, Chaudhuri A, Chant J (2000) Molecular linkage underlying microtubule orientation toward cortical sites in yeast. *Science* 287:2257–2259.
- Lee L, et al. (2000) Positioning of the mitotic spindle by a cortical-microtubule capture mechanism. Science 287:2260–2262.
- Miller RK, Rose MD (1998) Kar9p is a novel cortical protein required for cytoplasmic microtubule orientation in yeast. J Cell Biol 140:377–390.
- Siller KH, Cabernard C, Doe CQ (2006) The NuMA-related Mud protein binds Pins and regulates spindle orientation in *Drosophila* neuroblasts. *Nat Cell Biol* 8:594–600.
- Poulton JS, Mu FW, Roberts DM, Peifer M (2013) APC2 and Axin promote mitotic fidelity by facilitating centrosome separation and cytoskeletal regulation. *Development* 140: 4226–4236.
- 29. Galli M, van den Heuvel S (2008) Determination of the cleavage plane in early C. elegans embryos. Annu Rev Genet 42:389–411.
- Gönczy P (2008) Mechanisms of asymmetric cell division: Flies and worms pave the way. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9:355–366.
- Mizumoto K, Sawa H (2007) Cortical beta-catenin and APC regulate asymmetric nuclear beta-catenin localization during asymmetric cell division in C. elegans. Dev Cell 12:287–299.
- Sugioka K, Mizumoto K, Sawa H (2011) Wnt regulates spindle asymmetry to generate asymmetric nuclear β-catenin in C. elegans. Cell 146:942–954.
- Munro E, Bowerman B (2009) Cellular symmetry breaking during Caenorhabditis elegans development. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 1:a003400.
- Harterink M, et al. (2011) Neuroblast migration along the anteroposterior axis of C. elegans is controlled by opposing gradients of Wnts and a secreted Frizzled-related protein. Development 138:2915–2924.
- Oldenbroek M, et al. (2013) Regulation of maternal Wnt mRNA translation in C. elegans embryos. Development 140:4614–4623.
- Walston T, et al. (2004) Multiple Wnt signaling pathways converge to orient the mitotic spindle in early C. elegans embryos. Dev Cell 7:831–841.
- Baldwin AT, Phillips BT (2014) The tumor suppressor APC differentially regulates multiple β-catenins through the function of axin and CKIα during C. elegans asymmetric stem cell divisions. J Cell Sci 127:2771–2781.
- Pecreaux J, et al. (2006) Spindle oscillations during asymmetric cell division require a threshold number of active cortical force generators. *Curr Biol* 16:2111–2122.
- Zumbrunn J, Kinoshita K, Hyman AA, Näthke IS (2001) Binding of the adenomatous polyposis coli protein to microtubules increases microtubule stability and is regulated by GSK3 beta phosphorylation. *Curr Biol* 11:44–49.
- Labbé JC, Maddox PS, Salmon ED, Goldstein B (2003) PAR proteins regulate microtubule dynamics at the cell cortex in C. elegans. Curr Biol 13:707–714.
- Grill SW, Gönczy P, Stelzer EH, Hyman AA (2001) Polarity controls forces governing asymmetric spindle positioning in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryo. *Nature* 409:630–633.
 Laan L, et al. (2012) Cortical dynein controls microtubule dynamics to generate pulling forces that position microtubule asters. *Cell* 148:502–514.
- Kozlowski C, Srayko M, Nedelec F (2007) Cortical microtubule contacts position the spindle in C. elegans embryos. Cell 129:499–510.

- Hara Y, Kimura A (2009) Cell-size-dependent spindle elongation in the Caenorhabditis elegans early embryo. Curr Biol 19:1549–1554.
- Kimura A, Onami S (2005) Computer simulations and image processing reveal lengthdependent pulling force as the primary mechanism for C. elegans male pronuclear migration. Dev Cell 8:765–775.
- Kimura A, Onami S (2007) Local cortical pulling-force repression switches centrosomal centration and posterior displacement in C. elegans. J Cell Biol 179:1347–1354.
- Kimura A, Onami S (2010) Modeling microtubule-mediated forces and centrosome positioning in Caenorhabditis elegans embryos. Methods Cell Biol 97:437–453.
- Hamaguchi MS, Hiramoto Y (1986) Analysis of the role of astral rays in pronuclear migration in sand dollar eggs by the colcemid-UV method. Dev Growth Differ 28:143–156.
- Kimura K, Kimura A (2011) Intracellular organelles mediate cytoplasmic pulling force for centrosome centration in the Caenorhabditis elegans early embryo. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:137–142.
- 50. Grill SW, Kruse K, Jülicher F (2005) Theory of mitotic spindle oscillations. *Phys Rev Lett* 94:108104.
- Vogel SK, Pavin N, Maghelli N, Jülicher F, Tolić-Nørrelykke IM (2009) Self-organization of dynein motors generates meiotic nuclear oscillations. *PLoS Biol* 7:e1000087.
- Nguyen-Ngoc T, Afshar K, Gönczy P (2007) Coupling of cortical dynein and G alpha proteins mediates spindle positioning in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat Cell Biol 9:1294–1302.
- Galli M, et al. (2011) aPKC phosphorylates NuMA-related LIN-5 to position the mitotic spindle during asymmetric division. Nat Cell Biol 13:1132–1138.
- Etienne-Manneville S, Hall A (2003) Cdc42 regulates GSK-3beta and adenomatous polyposis coli to control cell polarity. *Nature* 421:753–756.
- Shi SH, Cheng T, Jan LY, Jan YN (2004) APC and GSK-3beta are involved in mPar3 targeting to the nascent axon and establishment of neuronal polarity. *Curr Biol* 14:2025–2032.
- Schlessinger K, McManus EJ, Hall A (2007) Cdc42 and noncanonical Wnt signal transduction pathways cooperate to promote cell polarity. J Cell Biol 178:355–361.
- 57. Davidson G, et al. (2009) Cell cycle control of wnt receptor activation. *Dev Cell* 17: 788–799.
- Gundersen GG, Gomes ER, Wen Y (2004) Cortical control of microtubule stability and polarization. Curr Opin Cell Biol 16:106–112.
- Reilein A, Nelson WJ (2005) APC is a component of an organizing template for cortical microtubule networks. Nat Cell Biol 7:463–473.
- Schmidt R, et al. (2017) Two populations of cytoplasmic dynein contribute to spindle positioning in C. elegans embryos. J Cell Biol 216:2777–2793.
- Kiyomitsu T, Cheeseman IM (2012) Chromosome- and spindle-pole-derived signals generate an intrinsic code for spindle position and orientation. *Nat Cell Biol* 14: 311–317.
- 62. Berends CWH, et al. (2013) F-actin asymmetry and the endoplasmic reticulumassociated TCC-1 protein contribute to stereotypic spindle movements in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryo. *Mol Biol Cell* 24:2201–2215.
- Krueger LE, Wu J-C, Tsou M-FB, Rose LS (2010) LET-99 inhibits lateral posterior pulling forces during asymmetric spindle elongation in *C. elegans* embryos. *J Cell Biol* 189: 481–495.
- Habib SJ, et al. (2013) A localized Wnt signal orients asymmetric stem cell division in vitro. Science 339:1445–1448.
- McCartney BM, et al. (2001) Drosophila APC2 and Armadillo participate in tethering mitotic spindles to cortical actin. Nat Cell Biol 3:933–938.
- Cheeseman IM, MacLeod I, Yates JR, 3rd, Oegema K, Desai A (2005) The CENP-F-like proteins HCP-1 and HCP-2 target CLASP to kinetochores to mediate chromosome segregation. *Curr Biol* 15:771–777.
- 67. Brenner S (1974) The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77:71-94.
- Praitis V, Casey E, Collar D, Austin J (2001) Creation of low-copy integrated transgenic lines in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 157:1217–1226.
- 69. Strome S, et al. (2001) Spindle dynamics and the role of gamma-tubulin in early *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryos. *Mol Biol Cell* 12:1751–1764.
- Merritt C, Rasoloson D, Ko D, Seydoux G (2008) 3' UTRs are the primary regulators of gene expression in the C. *elegans* germline. *Curr Biol* 18:1476–1482.
- Zonies S, Motegi F, Hao Y, Seydoux G (2010) Symmetry breaking and polarization of the C. elegans zygote by the polarity protein PAR-2. Development 137:1669–1677.
- Gibson DG, et al. (2009) Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods 6:343–345.
- Dickinson DJ, Ward JD, Reiner DJ, Goldstein B (2013) Engineering the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using Cas9-triggered homologous recombination. Nat Methods 10: 1028–1034.
- Friedland AE, et al. (2013) Heritable genome editing in C. elegans via a CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat Methods 10:741–743.
- 75. Edgar LG (1995) Blastomere culture and analysis. Methods Cell Biol 48:303-321.
- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Methods 9:671–675.
- Hawkins NC, Ellis GC, Bowerman B, Garriga G (2005) MOM-5 frizzled regulates the distribution of DSH-2 to control C. *elegans* asymmetric neuroblast divisions. *Dev Biol* 284:246–259.
- Garzon-Coral C, Fantana HA, Howard J (2016) A force-generating machinery maintains the spindle at the cell center during mitosis. *Science* 352:1124–1127.
- Grill SW, Howard J, Schäffer E, Stelzer EH, Hyman AA (2003) The distribution of active force generators controls mitotic spindle position. Science 301:518–521.
- Srayko M, Kaya A, Stamford J, Hyman AA (2005) Identification and characterization of factors required for microtubule growth and nucleation in the early C. elegans embryo. Dev Cell 9:223–236.
- Gross SP, Welte MA, Block SM, Wieschaus EF (2000) Dynein-mediated cargo transport in vivo. A switch controls travel distance. J Cell Biol 148:945–956.

41